

Better Home & Finance Holding Company

NasdaqGM:BETR

FQ1 2025 Earnings Call Transcripts

Tuesday, May 13, 2025 12:30 PM GMT

S&P Global Market Intelligence Estimates**

Estimates data is not available for this transcript hence the table is not generated.

Table of Contents

Call Participants	3
Presentation	4
Question and Answer	8

Call Participants

EXECUTIVES

Kevin J. Ryan
Chief Financial Officer

Tarek Afifi

Vishal Garg
Co-Founder, CEO & Director

ANALYSTS

Bose Thomas George
*Keefe, Bruyette, & Woods, Inc.,
Research Division*

Brendan Michael McCarthy
Sidoti & Company, LLC

Eric J. Hagen
BTIG, LLC, Research Division

Jake Kooyman
*Oppenheimer & Co. Inc., Research
Division*

Kartik Mehta
Northcoast Research Partners, LLC

Presentation

Operator

Hello, and welcome to the Better Home & Finance Holding Company First Quarter 2025 Results Call. [Operator Instructions]

I would now like to turn the conference over to Tarek Afifi, Corporate Finance. You may begin.

Tarek Afifi

Welcome to Better Home & Finance Holding Company's first quarter earnings conference call. My name is Tarek Afifi, Corporate Finance at Better. Joining me on today's call are Vishal Garg, Founder and Chief Executive Officer of Better; and Kevin Ryan, Chief Financial Officer of Better.

In addition to this conference call, please direct your attention to our first quarter earnings release, which is available on our Investor Relations website. Also available on our website is an investor presentation.

Certain statements we make today may constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of federal securities laws that are based on current expectations and assumptions. These expectations and assumptions are subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors as discussed further in our SEC filings that could cause our actual results to differ materially from our historical results. We assume no responsibility to update forward-looking statements other than as required by law.

During today's discussion, management will discuss certain non-GAAP financial measures, which we believe are relevant in assessing the company's financial performance. These non-GAAP financial measures should not be considered replacements for and should be read together with our GAAP results. These non-GAAP financial measures are reconciled to GAAP financial measures in today's earnings release and investor presentation, both of which are available on the Investor Relations section of Better's website and when filed in our quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC.

Amounts described as of and for the quarter ended March 31, 2025, represent a preliminary estimate as of the date of this earnings release and may be revised upon filing our quarterly report on the Form 10-Q with the SEC. More information as of and for the quarter ended March 31, 2025, will be upon filing our quarterly report on Form 10-Q with the SEC.

I will now turn the call over to Vishal.

Vishal Garg

Co-Founder, CEO & Director

Thank you, and welcome to our first quarter 2025 earnings call. We appreciate everyone joining us today and for your continued support as we advance our mission to make homeownership better, faster and easier for our customers by building a technology platform that revolutionizes the home ownership experience.

I want to set the tone for today's discussion by reiterating that while the mortgage industry and housing markets are facing challenges, this dynamic creates tremendous greenfield opportunity for us because we are truly the first scaled up AI platform built to empower consumers, and now, also empowering local mortgage brokers and banks with the technology to serve their customers.

The mortgage industry is massive, estimated by the MBA to be \$2.1 trillion in total origination volume for the full year 2025, of which approximately \$1.4 trillion is purchased and approximately \$700 billion is refund. So even just a 1% share of this massive TAM would result in \$14 billion of volume for Better, approximately 3x from where we are today. We continue to drive progress towards our mission in which every customer can seamlessly buy, sell, refinance, insure and improve their home digitally online instantly, and towards executing on our key objectives, which are, one, to lean into growth and AI to drive increased volume and revenue; two, ongoing efficiency improvements driven by continuous advancements in our technology and the implementation of AI through our entire operating model; and three, diversification of our distribution channels and corporate cost reductions.

In the first quarter of 2025, on a year-over-year basis, we grew funded loan volume by 31% to \$868 million and revenue by 46% to \$33 million, driven by funding more loans, both through our DTC and Tinman AI platform channels.

Last month, we were very pleased to announce the retirement of Better's outstanding convertible debt and right sized the liability structure. This transaction is expected to create approximately \$200 million of positive pretax equity value and create a path to long-

term value creation for our equity shareholders. Removing this debt overhang is a monumental achievement and key milestone to our capital structure, and Kevin will talk to this in more detail.

In the meantime, we remain focused on driving towards profitability in the midterm by continuing to lean into Tinman technology and AI, with the Betsy AI loan assistant executing 127,000 consumer interactions in March, our AI underwriting growing from over 40% of lock loans to 75% in the near future, and increasing loan officer productivity in terms of loans per month to over 3x the mortgage industry median. As we look forward to the second half 2025 and beyond, our strategic priorities remain focused on what lies in our control.

Our first priority is to continue to thoughtfully propel growth. In the first quarter, year-over-year funded loan volume growth was driven by increases across all 3 of our main product categories, with home equity products and refinance loans being the largest growth drivers. Specifically, HELOC and home equity loan volume increased 207%, refinance loan volume increased 64% and purchase loan volume increased 9%.

This growth is attributable to the strategic investments we've made in technology, product innovation and distribution expansion, including the launch of Betsy voice-based AI loan officer, deployment of our Tinman AI platform strategy with the addition of NEO Powered by Better and efficient expansion of D2C. These strategic initiatives have positioned us to capitalize on market opportunities, enhance operational efficiency and drive sustainable growth.

Our second priority is to continue to reduce expenses and improve operational efficiency with the goal of reaching profitability in the medium term. While we expect loan origination expenses will increase as we lean into growth, as we further implement Betsy into the sales processing and underwriting workflows, we expect continued operating leverage with revenue growth outpacing expense growth.

Using our Tinman AI platform, we have been able to automate time and labor intensive components of the mortgage process and reduce our cost to originate by over 40% of the industry average. We believe our continued investments in AI with our product and engineering road maps well on track will significantly drive down costs further, resulting in improved operating efficiency and superior customer experience.

Lastly, our third priority is to continue diversifying our product and platform distribution channels. We now have 3 ways of serving the customer using our technology: direct to consumer, Tinman AI as a platform and Tinman AI as a software. In our D2C business, we serve the consumer directly on Better.com. Better was founded on revolutionizing the consumer experience for the home finance process, and as such, our D2C business has always been at the forefront of pushing the envelope on what technology can do in the mortgage industry at its core. Within the D2C channel, contribution margin or per loan profitability is increasing as the operating cost to fund is decreasing due to implementation of AI in both the sales and operations workflows.

Next, we serve the consumer through our Tinman AI platform, powering loan officers across the United States locally, for which we are seeing rapid early growth. For context, over \$1.2 trillion of mortgage volume in 2024 was originated by retail loan officers on antiquated technology and high operating costs.

We are quickly disrupting traditional retail mortgage origination by onboarding loan officers and branches onto our Tinman AI platform, empowering them to do more loans than they've ever done before, removing friction from their fulfillment process and expanding their capacity to help more customers. These loan officers keep the pricing they've been able to get historically based on the service level that they provide locally and within their communities and networks, all while compressing a staggering 80% of their back office costs using our platform.

As we've discussed on recent earnings calls, NEO Powered by Better, our first and now proven traditional retail mortgage originator leveraging the Tinman AI platform, is deeply benefiting from our AI technology and digital lead funnel, supercharging their loan officer teams who have demonstrated track records in customer service excellence within the communities they serve.

Further, Betsy, the first AI voice-based loan assistant for the U.S. mortgage industry, is being individually branded for each loan officer at NEO and rolled out through their entire sales force. We are making great early progress with NEO Powered by Better, well ahead of our internal expectations and have high aspirations for the road ahead.

Since beginning production in January 2025, we have on boarded approximately 115 NEO loan officers across 53 branches. Currently, NEO loan officers are doing 3 loans a month, and we have the goal of tripling plus their capacity to 10 loans a month, thereby also increasing their earnings 3x and helping them serve even more families than they do currently.

In January, we funded \$2 million of loans for 4 families. In February, we funded \$42 million for 104 families. And in March, we funded \$119 million for 258 families. And this is during the slow season in mortgage origination. This is the first successful launch of taking an entire mortgage company off of their traditional mortgage industry software stack with Encompass and the other antiquated mortgage technology that NEO had been working with before, and within 90 days, getting them to exceed the loan volume they previously had while dramatically increasing their efficiency.

And as we have proven this out with NEO, with the entire mortgage industry watching, we have been inundated with other mortgage teams and companies wanting to move their business to the Tinman AI platform. We see massive opportunity in the road ahead to replicate the success of NEO Powered by Better with other traditional mortgage originators.

And lastly, we are serving the customer by powering banks that seek to license our Tinman AI software to become more efficient and customer-centric. We have built a highly fine-tuned platform for our own business and customers, and now there is demand from others in the industry to license our software.

This quarter, we are excited to sign an agreement with a bank partner to power their entire mortgage platform from a software perspective from click to close with their sales and operations people across the full range of products that they offer, including non-QM and other niche products entirely on Tinman. As you all know, banks have traditionally had to offer mortgages, but the cost to originate these loans to their customer base has been well over \$10,000 per funded loan, making bank origination of mortgages largely unprofitable.

To be clear, banks want to originate mortgages, but they know they need to invest in technology to make it a profitable business in any environment. That is a huge opportunity for Better and Tinman. Notably, this will be the first implementation of Tinman as a direct competitor to the point-of-sale system plus CRM system plus pricing engine, plus document engine, plus loan origination software, plus underwriting calculation engine setup that the vast majority of the mortgage industry has. Seven to eight systems all by different vendors with different pricing with different middleware integrations, mostly not talking to each other with stale data, with the ability to have only one person logged in at a time, creating the massive workload and massive cost that it takes to make a mortgage the traditional way.

We look forward to sharing more information about disrupting this entire software stack in the coming quarters ahead as we believe a very large addressable market exists within the mortgage ecosystem for a holistic one-stop software solution powered by the industry's leading AI engine, Tinman.

To put the opportunity into context, over 5 million mortgages were built on the Encompass platform in 2024. To the extent that we can achieve even 1% penetration of the Encompass customer base, we believe based on our current pricing that could drive an incremental 50,000 new loans and \$75 million of revenue to Better per year.

And unlike other traditional mortgage software, our SaaS platform does not charge on a per seat or a per application basis. Rather, we are uniquely charging on a per funded loan basis, where the revenue event for the mortgage company is directly tied to the technology cost, which is a fundamentally disruptive model to the traditional software players in the industry and enables the full adoption of AI, because unlike those other players, we are paid on a per successful transaction basis, not by filling seats or filling the application funnel.

To sum it all up, while our D2C business has always been at the forefront of pushing the envelope of what technology can do in the mortgage industry at its core, we have started making great advancements in diversifying our product and platform distribution channels, notably through the Tinman AI platform, both empowering local loan officers and mortgage brokers and empowering banks with our software.

Looking ahead to the second half of 2025 and beyond, the opportunity ahead of us has never been more exciting. We remain focused on enhancing our go-to-market with growth being our North Star alongside continued expense management and channel diversification. We will continue to invest in building the leading AI platform in the mortgage industry, Tinman, to improve the customer experience and further drive down labor costs, making our platform more efficient and scalable, ultimately driving the business to profitability.

Furthermore, we are substantially broadening the use of Tinman through diversification on both Tinman AI as a platform for other mortgage originators and Tinman AI as a software service to solve for the mortgage industry's broken tech stack.

With that, let me now turn it over to Kevin Ryan, our Chief Financial Officer, who will discuss the quarterly performance and our financial strategy. Kevin?

Kevin J. Ryan
Chief Financial Officer

Thank you, Vishal. As we've discussed on prior calls, even through a continued challenging market environment and now heightened macro volatility, we continue to make great progress towards our goals of increased volume and revenue balanced with ongoing expense management and improved efficiency.

In the first quarter of 2025, on a year-over-year basis, we grew funded loan volume by 31% to \$868 million and revenue by 46% to \$33 million, driven by funding more loans both through our D2C channel and Tinman AI platform. We had an adjusted EBITDA loss of \$40.4 million and total GAAP net loss of approximately \$50.6 million.

By channel, first quarter funded loan volume was 71% generated through direct-to-consumer and 29% generated through Tinman AI platform along with B2B. By product, funded loan volume was 67% purchase, 18% second lien or home equity and 15% refinance. On a sequential quarter-over-quarter basis versus Q4 2024, Q1 funded loan volume was down approximately 7% as Q1 is always seasonally the slowest quarter in the D2C business, and this compared quite favorably to our prior guidance of down 10% to 15%.

We are pleased that despite the sequential quarter-over-quarter decline in volume, revenue was up approximately 30%. Revenue grew in the quarter despite the expected decline in volume due to volume from NEO coming on board with higher gain on sale margins, our continued push towards increased pricing and a tailwind from the loan loss reserve.

Turning to expenses during the quarter. When excluding onetime costs related to cleanup items from the SPAC transaction, total expenses decreased approximately 11% in Q1 compared with Q4 of 2024, and we reduced the adjusted EBITDA loss on a month-over-month basis during the quarter. Loan origination expenses were down in Q1 on a sequential basis versus Q4 '24. While these loan volume-related expenses will increase as we further lean into growth, operating leverage will rise as revenue growth outpaces expense growth.

Turning to our balance sheet and capital structure. Last month, we announced the retirement of approximately \$530 million of convertible notes, creating approximately \$200 million of positive pretax equity value to continue expanding our AI mortgage platform. We are very pleased to reduce the debt overhang and improve our balance sheet positioning and strategic optionality. With the completion of the debt restructuring, our priorities squarely remain growth and profitability.

We continue building out our Tinman AI platform and Tinman software channels, lean into productivity-driven savings through AI deployment across the mortgage business and drive costs down further in our corporate functions. We are excited about using AI to drive the business towards growth and profitability, similar to the advances we experienced in 2016 to 2021 when we grew originations by over 100x.

Turning now to our outlook. We remain focused on managing towards profitability in the midterm, and we expect to drive growth through efficiency from Tinman AI, distribution channel diversification and optimized marketing, while balancing these growth expenses with further corporate cost reductions. For the second quarter of 2025, we expect funded loan volume to be up compared to the first quarter of 2025, driven by efficiencies in our Tinman AI platform. We are particularly excited that the Tinman AI platform loan volume is pacing well ahead of our internal plan in March and April despite the heightened macro volatility, and we expect over \$450 million of NEO originations in Q2, which is growth of over 250% versus Q1.

Additionally, for the second quarter, we expect core expenses, including compensation and benefits, to be down relative to the first quarter. For the full year of 2025, we expect funded loan volume growth to increase year-over-year driven by tailwinds from the growth initiatives, including NEO Powered by Better, offset by continued macro pressure and the loss of the Ally business, a roughly \$1 billion headwind.

We expect growth to come particularly in the second and third quarter of the year, at which point, we expect NEO Powered by Better to be more fully ramped and to benefit from improved seasonal tailwinds. We also expect further improvements to our adjusted EBITDA losses in 2025 as compared to 2024 due to a combination of efficiency gains and continued corporate cost reductions.

Lastly, we continue to undergo efforts to exit our noncore U.K. assets while focus on growing Birmingham Bank. We expect to more than double U.K. bank originations again in 2025 as we deploy AI with the goal of building the leading AI-driven specialist mortgage bank in the United Kingdom. We expect the exiting of 3 smaller noncore U.K. businesses to start being a benefit to our adjusted EBITDA losses in the second half of 2025 as a result of their disposition.

With that, I'll now turn it back to the operator for Q&A.

Question and Answer

Operator

[Operator Instructions] Your first question comes from Kartik Mehta, Northcoast Research.

Kartik Mehta

Northcoast Research Partners, LLC

Vishal, you talked about the NEO platform and obviously how much success you're having with it. As you've looked early stages, I know you talk about 90 days, but what do you think is a fair number of time before the loan officer really can have a feel of the impact of that model? And how do you expect that to trend over the next 12 months?

Vishal Garg

Co-Founder, CEO & Director

I think they start to see the impact within 30 days, and that starts with taking out a huge chunk of the sales-related tasks that the loan officer has to do other than speaking to the consumer. So they immediately start getting back hours of their day that they were spending either putting data into the system. Getting data out of the system, following up from the system, following up with processors, underwriters on where loan files are at, where customer files are at, all of that is done automatically by the system. So they immediately start getting time back.

Then from there, they start getting productivity back, because the customers that they've locked, they are not having to chase them up for the documents. The engine is doing it directly. If there's some problem with the document, the engine is doing it directly for them.

And then they encounter the AI underwriter, where if a loan file needs to get restructured -- and I'm really excited about the AI underwriter because it captures the logic across all 35 of our investors' guidelines, right? We're talking almost like 40,000 pages of guidelines and pricing that's updated 3x a day. It's capturing all of that.

And it basically gives like the loan officer the means of addressing the customer's question, "Hey, how do I get a lower rate? Hey, how can I qualify for a bigger mortgage? Hey, how" -- like, "What do I need to do to get this loan approved? This new thing came up." Or, "I want to also buy a car," or, "My dad doesn't want to cosign anymore, but my mom does." All of that it just totally does it instantly. Something that would have taken a human underwriter 3 to 10 hours to resolve, it's getting back answers in 3 to 5 seconds.

So people are seeing it immediately. And that's why we're seeing the traffic come in from these other loan officers. We already have -- on top of the NEO, \$2.5 billion we're talking about, we already have inbound on another \$50 billion of loan officers who are funding loans today who are excited and interested in the platform. Now all \$50 billion is not going to pan out. People are going to have things in their cycle. People are going to want to figure it out. But we have created a mechanism by which if you're a successful retail mortgage loan officer or a retail mortgage team or a retail mortgage company, you can get full transparency, you can get total control and you have to share a much smaller percentage of your profits with our platform and get massive productivity increases.

So what we're promising the retail loan officer is we're going to help you make 3x more money and cut your cost in half. And that's a pretty compelling value proposition.

Kartik Mehta

Northcoast Research Partners, LLC

Yes. And just as a follow-up, maybe how many more loan officers in 2025 would you like to onboard? I didn't know if there's a capacity or if there's a way that you wanted to kind of scale this in terms of adding to the platform.

Vishal Garg

Co-Founder, CEO & Director

Yes. So to be honest, like in 2021, we had 5,000 loan officers. Here we are onboarding 150 of them on the retail channel, right? So the other thing that the platform provides is effectively infinite capacity to any loan officer team. And so I think we would like to grow. I think we'd like to triple or quadruple the NEO channel. We're already going to double it this coming quarter, as Kevin mentioned, in terms of production. So I think there's a lot of capacity ahead.

Operator

The question comes from Brendan McCarthy with Sidoti.

Brendan Michael McCarthy
Sidoti & Company, LLC

Just want to start off looking at the unit economics. Just curious as to how the unit economics at the loan level trended year-over-year. And I guess really aiming to get an idea of how do you quantify the AI functionality? And really -- you mentioned operating leverage is kind of positioned to improve looking forward. Are you able to quantify maybe how much you expect that to improve looking ahead?

Vishal Garg
Co-Founder, CEO & Director

Yes. Kevin, do you want to take that question? And then I can fill in.

Kevin J. Ryan
Chief Financial Officer

Yes, let me start. So I think, Brendan, there's a couple of things here. So the unit economics have improved. If I just take Q1, February was better than January, March was materially better than February. And when you look at our actually aggregate losses, March came in about \$7 million, so materially lower. And the mortgage company essentially was breakeven in March. And so the unit economics as a direct result of the AI improvements are coming fast and furious.

Now there's always a market cyclical to it as it relates to purchase season. Purchase season kind of deferred a little bit here given some of the macro. So it's not going to be linear. But to date, it's been -- it's been pretty linear to date. But I wouldn't assume that's going to be true month over month over month.

Where you're going to see the savings? And so I'll kind of maybe just guide you through the income statement. So the majority of the savings you're going to see through the continued technology improvements are going to be in the compensation and benefits line. That number is going to go up as we onboard the loan officer that Vishal just talked about, right? As we add LOs, comp and ben is going to go up, but it's going to go up slower than revenue, and it's continued to improve. If I think of comp and ben as a percentage of revenue, it's getting materially better and will continue to get better. And that's always been one of our challenges.

The other place you'll see it is in loan origination expense. We will -- that will continue to come down. So basically, think of that as -- non-comp expenses on a per loan basis, we're safely below \$1,000 a loan and going even lower on that line item. And that is really as a direct result of being able to deprecate vendors, renegotiate vendors, drive better deals and use our technology to really lower the expense -- the non-comp expense cost of manufacturing a loan. So those are the principal areas you will see it.

Vishal Garg
Co-Founder, CEO & Director

Yes, I think the North Star is getting the total cost of production of a loan down to \$1,500 a loan: \$500 of sales labor, \$500 of ops labor and \$500 of credit bureau, income verification and all of those other sort of external vendor costs. And we're driving hard towards that. And if we are able to do that, we're going to be 6x cheaper than the industry's cost of manufacture.

A retail mortgage originator today outside of sales expense is spending \$7,500 alone to basically get a loan all the way funded through the books. So we think that there's certainly a lot more to gain coming out of the AI. We're starting to actually see it in the numbers with, as Kevin mentioned, the mortgage company becoming profitable this quarter, which was -- which it hasn't been in many quarters. And we're going to now be able to like continue to grow.

The important thing is that -- as you know, mortgage is a scale business. And so what we did this quarter with the addition of 2 additional methods of addressing -- of reaching the market, one, purely on a software basis, and the second, on a platform basis, is going to drive substantially more volume through the entire funnel. That's going to enable us to get better pricing across our vendor contracts, get better execution on hiring and deploying labor and really get the benefits of scale that plus the AI can bring.

Brendan Michael McCarthy
Sidoti & Company, LLC

That makes sense. I really appreciate the insight looking ahead. And then I wanted to talk on the balance sheet. First of all, congratulations on the convertible retirement. I think that's a big piece of the story. But just curious as to maybe longer term what kind

of leverage level makes sense for the business? And kind of how do you think about the balance sheet at this point versus where you'd like to be?

Kevin J. Ryan
Chief Financial Officer

Sure. So I'll start. Vishal may want to supplement. I'll make a few comments as you think about our balance sheet and leverage. The first is, to date, we have always sold servicing released. So we run a very capital-light business model. We don't really -- we'll run a \$1 billion balance sheet, but half of that will be loans held for sale and those loans are recycling quite quickly, right, particularly post the SoftBank transaction. Because I think, as we talked about in the 8-K when we did the deal, we didn't use much cash at all to actually do that deal, but we did sell loans held for sale that were unencumbered that we chose not to pledge the warehouse lines in order to fund that transaction.

So from a leverage perspective, we don't really think about it as debt to equity per se, like where a lot of other companies may because they run a big servicing asset on the balance sheet that they presumably for most lever through a financing facility against the MSR. But what I will say, the \$155 million of new debt we've put on, it does not mature to the end of 2028. It's fully picked.

Until we're profitable -- we've informed our partner, our lender that we will be picking the interest, and so that will accrue. But we will not cash pay it. And so we're quite comfortable with \$155 million of debt due at the end of 2028. And I think the combination of market improvement and all the self-help we're doing and the work we're doing around technology, we think refinancing that 3 years from now should be well within our purview. So we feel quite comfortable with our current leverage.

Brendan Michael McCarthy
Sidoti & Company, LLC

Great. And one more question for me. I know this is constantly a -- the point of growth here is the B2B partnerships. What other opportunities are you seeing for B2B partnerships? And maybe you could talk about the pipeline there.

Kevin J. Ryan
Chief Financial Officer

You want to start that one, Vishal?

Vishal Garg
Co-Founder, CEO & Director

Yes. So I think there's -- we've -- so I'll give you some context on the banks that we signed up with respect to the -- I think there's basically 2 flavors of B2B partnerships going forward. First is a software-only partnership. There, we -- what we saw with Ally leaving the business and all the banks sort of that we've pitched for Ally-like deals over the past couple of years is that a lot of the systems and the processes that these banks have, I think, they're all in downsizing mode for their mortgage business. And quite frankly, they're not keen to outsource the bulk of the front office and back office like a full package like what Ally was doing to us.

So now with the ability for these banks to utilize our software and basically then also scale up and down on the services. So if they need a marginal processor or a marginal underwriter, they can use us. But if they don't, they don't have to. They can just use the software and get the efficiencies out of the software for their loan officers and their processors and underwriters. We think that's a much better go-to-market strategy, and we think we're going to be able to scale that up pretty rapidly. We have a whole host of fintechs and banks waiting as we deploy this one bank and get it across the finish line.

To give you some context, for a typical bank to deploy the traditional mortgage industry stack, we're talking \$1 million to \$5 million in integration implementation costs and 9 months. For this one bank client, we had them up and running on conforming loans in 3 days. And then they asked us to get up and running across their entire product set and also do wholesale for them, and we've got them up and running in 60 days. So 0 implementation costs, 0 third-party vendor fees, nothing. So all per funded loan basis.

So with this one bank, just on the volume they're transitioning to the platform from what they did last year, we're going to make \$4 million plus in revenue. And we think now with adding wholesale capabilities, we might be at like \$10 million to \$12 million in revenue over the next 18 months on an annualized basis with this one bank alone. So -- and they're small- to medium-sized banks. So the pipeline is looking really good on that.

The second part of the B2B pipeline that we have discovered really does work for us is other fintechs who want to get into the mortgage business, wealth management fintechs, lending platforms, personal loan platforms. And we're seeing a lot of interest from

those platforms to start to diversify into home equity and eventually into mortgage and be prepared to turn their customers into mortgage customers. And these platforms have done a really good job aggregating millions and millions of users over the past couple of years, selling everything from buy now pay later, personal installment loans.

And we make it really easy for them to get in the mortgage business without having to hire LOs and underwriters and processors and so on and so forth. So we hope to share with you positive feedback and details and sign some big deals over the next 9 months in this year on -- with many of these large fintech platforms. So I hope that covers like the 2 different types of B2B that we're going to see going forward.

Operator

The next question comes from Rayna Kumar with Oppenheimer.

Jake Kooyman

Oppenheimer & Co. Inc., Research Division

This is Jake Kooyman on for Rayna. Congrats on onboarding your first bank partner as part of the Tinman AI as a software opportunity. I was just hoping you could expand on how this relationship works in terms of the economics and operational workflow. And what does the go-to-market look like to capture additional bank partners?

Vishal Garg

Co-Founder, CEO & Director

Sure. So the way that it works is that we take all of their existing software and it goes away and they get one platform. We load up the pricing that they want and give them self-serve pricing control. We load up the underwriting criteria they want, and they can have that attached to the pricing. So it's the only eligibility plus pricing platform in the industry.

So they can add an additional underwriting criteria and charge up or down for it on an overlay basis all in one flow, and it automatically triggers what needs to be tasked out both to the consumer and the processor and underwriter. It does it all automatically. So it's really easy to learn once they kind of get the hang of it, and we basically deploy an account manager and a product manager to help them do that.

On the other side, we've created a retail origination module for them, for their bank branches. We've created a wholesale origination module for them, and a direct-to-consumer module for them for their website. And so they deploy that and they take in the application, basically mimicking the same workflow that they have today, but with an AI assistant handling everything. So they basically can now be on 24/7, 365 days a year for their customers, and their LOs can become 3x more productive and start reaching the productivity that Better's LOs have traditionally had in the industry.

And then from there, their underwriters are able to just basically become exceptions managers. And basically, all of those folks get trained by our team. We have a SWAT team that goes there, that gets deployed. And then from there, they're up and running. The math on that is per loan for a funded loan -- we're earning about \$1,500 per funded loan in software fees and platform fees. And basically, they don't have to deal with 8 different vendors. They don't have to deal with multiple systems integrators. They don't have to deal with any of that stuff. And so for them, that's very, very compelling.

It's not just compelling on a cost basis, it's compelling because we're increasing the throughput of their people by 2 to 3x, which substantially takes their cost down in terms of cost to originate and gets it more like Better's cost to originate, plus brings them scale, because then they're not delimited by each marginal -- for the next marginal loan they want to do, they have to hire a new loan officer and a new processor and a new underwriter and having to manage all of that. Anytime they have a staffing shortage, they can then just turn on to us. Kind of like what AWS did, we're doing for mortgages. Basically, you can turn on or turn down instantly capacity, and it just accommodates it.

Operator

[Operator Instructions] Your next question comes from Eric Hagen with BTIG.

Eric J. Hagen

BTIG, LLC, Research Division

Back on to the balance sheet maybe. I mean, how does the restructuring give you better negotiating terms with lenders and other counterparties? You guys talked about the bank partnerships. I mean how does the restructuring itself play a part maybe in your ability

to like source to maintain those relationships? And again, like the restructuring itself, does that make you more competitive with some of the other entities who may be looking at those similar partnerships?

Kevin J. Ryan
Chief Financial Officer

Yes, sure. So Eric, it's Kevin. I'll start, and then Vishal may want to supplement. It's certainly helpful. I think as we kind of -- we disclosed, we're going to create about \$200 million of equity creation as part of the deal. I think there were people who definitely looked at us and said, you have a relatively high debt load. Certainly for a company that's kind of at the low point of the cycle -- hopefully, the cycle improves here. And all the AI improvements will kind of drive us through the cycle irrespective of what the cycle does. But I think we've definitely fixed the balance sheet and that we've taken equity up, debt down as the course of this deal.

And so when people do their kind of high-level diligence on us as a partner, I think they're really looking at us for the technology, what we can provide, all the things Vishal just went through, right? That is what they're really looking for. But they certainly want to make sure they have a strong counterparty as well that they're going to work with for years and years and years to come. And so we feel like on the margin, we've improved our pitch to them as a result of the balance sheet transaction. But we did the balance sheet transaction because it was the right thing to do for shareholders, and it was the right kind of ROI on the use of the cash we used to actually get the deal done.

Eric J. Hagen
BTIG, LLC, Research Division

Great color there. I appreciate that. I mean we hear constantly about the range of borrower profiles, the need for loan officers to effectively like tailor a loan to the borrower's profile. I mean how do you guys work with the software to address these different profiles? How do you benchmark that flexibility to, again, address the different loan profiles? Or is it really more effective to instead think of the Better platform as really just being the cheapest and most efficient platform for the borrower whose profile is down the fairway, so to speak, and the niche for the software isn't really trying to be super tailored. How should we kind of think about like where you guys plug in?

Vishal Garg
Co-Founder, CEO & Director

I think that's a really great question. So for the first 7 years of our life, Better was great for straight down the fairway customers. And we just crushed it in terms of cost and efficiency on conforming Jumbo, [IFICO], medium TI, ADLTV type of loans. And that fueled our growth. And I would say really onboarding the retail loan officers, we've had to build out the functionality for every loan type in Tinman in the past 120 days. So 3-plus borrowers, who even knew that was a thing? But apparently, bank of mom and dad is really big in retail, right, and you need to have 3-plus borrowers. So we had to build that into our system. And now we have infinite borrowers can qualify. You can have 12 borrowers on a loan file.

That we had to build all the custody products. We had to build the construction loan product. We had to build all these additional products all into the system. And now the system crushes all of those loan products. For this bank, we have to onboard bank -- non-QM bank statement, Docklight. The system now crushes it. And more importantly, the AI underwriting automatically is matching the consumer to the full product set and exposing the full product set. The loan officer doesn't have to do any work to remember any of this stuff. It doesn't have to go from the loan officer from a conventional product and then go through the funnel, go through underwriting and then get kicked out and then get matched to a different product and so on and so forth. It's like all happening instantly.

And so I think one of the things that Tinman is going to now be known for is not just being super cost efficient, but actually capturing the full scope of products that are available on the platform, which, by the way, is helping D2C dramatically improve its unit economics because all these people that we were previously turning away in D2C that we didn't have a product for, we now suddenly have a product for. So this is -- the growth of Tinman AI and the breadth of the product offering is improving conversion across all of our channels.

Kevin J. Ryan
Chief Financial Officer

Yes, I mean, Eric, the addition -- Vishal just said it, but the addition of products is one of the biggest stories for us over the last 3 to 6 months. Through Tinman AI, the onboarding of NEO, it's been a game changer as it relates to rolling out products.

Operator

The next question comes from Bose George with KBW.

Bose Thomas George

Keefe, Bruyette, & Woods, Inc., Research Division

Actually, that was very interesting on your comments about the way Tinman could disintermediate some of the LOS systems. Are the companies that you're speaking to, like the bank you noted, generally on a system like Encompass and then they're looking at you guys as a lower cost, higher efficiency alternative? Or is it kind of the de novo? Can you just characterize the people you're talking to?

Vishal Garg

Co-Founder, CEO & Director

The people we're talking to are on Encompass or SimpleNexus. And the bank that has -- that we've onboarded was on Encompass and NEO was on Encompass. And fundamentally, I think the efficiency gain from moving from those 2 systems plus all the vendors' ecosystem around it to our platform is pretty dramatic. And these companies obviously have huge sales forces, long contract cycles. But I think what's been really fortuitous for us is this is all happening now at the same time as everyone is reevaluating all of their technology to determine whether it can work with the AI agents and LLMs.

And basically, the bulk of these technologies that exist in mortgage land, they can't, because you have 7 or 8 systems. If you talk to OpenAI, they'll tell you the maximum number of function calls that the LLM can do at the same time is 2 to 3 function calls, right? So now how are you going to do 2 -- if you've got a delimiter on 2 to 3 function calls right now, how are you going to do it across 8 systems without the type of latency that we're talking about or minutes of latency, and nobody wants that. So you can't deploy an AI agent on any of these old broken systems.

So I think it's sort of like a seminal 1995 to 1999 moment where suddenly the Internet is a thing. Well, now AI is a thing and none of these systems are AI equipped. That's why you haven't -- I mean we've rolled out Betsy 6 months ago. And you haven't seen anything out of the industry other than an appointment scheduling bot for loan officers, like an interface on top of like you can book me. So I think fundamentally we're -- our lead is like a generational lead here. And I have been super surprised by the industry response, particularly from very large mortgage companies reaching out to us and saying, "Wow, this worked. I assume if it worked for them, you'll get it to work for us. Come out and see us." And so we're going to scale into this hard.

Bose Thomas George

Keefe, Bruyette, & Woods, Inc., Research Division

Great. That's interesting. And then like do you have companies that you're speaking to noted any concerns about essentially buying technology from a competitor? And to the extent this thing grows meaningfully, is there any sort of alternatives, like maybe separate this out? Or is that too early to think about, things like that?

Vishal Garg

Co-Founder, CEO & Director

I think it's too early to think about that. I think the companies that we're talking to -- we're not in retail. We're not -- they don't view Better.com as a competitor. And I've been transparent with them. Better.com D2C might become 25% of our business or even 10% of our business over time. And I think, yes, there is that. But then there's also the -- when you're facing a potential extinction event, you're less worried about like buying a tool that helps you get past that extinction event from someone who could or would maybe be a competitor.

Operator

This concludes the question-and-answer session. I'll turn the call to Vishal Garg for closing remarks.

V^Vishal Garg^ Thank you all for continuing to support us as we build America's leading AI mortgage platform, and in doing so, help consumers get a mortgage, get a better rate, have a better process, which lets them have a better house and a better life. While the past 5 years have been challenging for us given the state of the market, we're now playing offense hard again. We're looking forward to executing on our continued efficient growth and to share more positive news with you in the quarters ahead. Thank you.

Operator

This concludes today's conference call. Thank you for joining. You may now disconnect.

Copyright © 2025 by S&P Global Market Intelligence, a division of S&P Global Inc. All rights reserved.

These materials have been prepared solely for information purposes based upon information generally available to the public and from sources believed to be reliable. No content (including index data, ratings, credit-related analyses and data, research, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of S&P Global Market Intelligence or its affiliates (collectively, S&P Global). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P Global and any third-party providers, (collectively S&P Global Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Global Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions, regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content. THE CONTENT IS PROVIDED ON "AS IS" BASIS. S&P GLOBAL PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Global Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages. S&P Global Market Intelligence's opinions, quotes and credit-related and other analyses are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P Global Market Intelligence may provide index data. Direct investment in an index is not possible. Exposure to an asset class represented by an index is available through investable instruments based on that index. S&P Global Market Intelligence assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P Global Market Intelligence does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. S&P Global keeps certain activities of its divisions separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain divisions of S&P Global may have information that is not available to other S&P Global divisions. S&P Global has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P Global may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P Global reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P Global's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P Global publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

© 2025 S&P Global Market Intelligence.